



# Evidence Reveals Science As A Pseudoscience – God Theory Debunked

MOUNT LAUREL, N.J., May 17, 2016 -- In a recent peer-reviewed article titled “Who Is Telling The Truth, Nature Or Man?” by artist and experimenter, Manuel Morales, [1] unambiguous empirical evidence obtained at TemptDestiny.com revealed that the logic of using effects to establish cause in scientific experiments and observational studies is incomplete. This flaw is due to a fundamental omission error of not factoring both mutually exclusive and jointly exhaustive selection variables necessary to conduct the art of science. The Tempt Destiny experiment confirmed that without these two variables, direct selection and indirect selection (see Figure), it is impossible to obtain the existence of an effect, subsequently, it is also impossible to continue to exist without these two origin variables as exemplified in the article via the Final Selection Thought Experiment. Since both experiments are absolute, they serve to empirically confirm without conjecture that a theory of single cause being fundamental, e.g., a single elementary particle (Higgs boson) or a single deity (God) causing the existence of the universe, is not reflective of Nature.

Ironically enough, the formal recognition of a fundamental omission error of how science is being conducted by a national academy of science with an international editorial board and council of scientists marks a significant development towards the advancement of science for only science can correct itself. As discovered, current methods used to collect data to confirm scientific theories are based on omitted-variable bias which inherently leads to obtaining false-positive results, i.e., pseudoscience. [2]

The omission error is blatantly transparent to the extent that anyone with internet access can confirm for themselves what the art of science has overlooked without needing to read a single scientific document or book. The article mentions how to conduct a simple keyword search in order to distinguish if both origin variables were accounted for in research investigations. Of the tens of millions of online documents, one may find a relative handful (less than 1% of 1%) that mention these two variables in the same research. If so, then further review will be needed to determine how the keywords found were applied as either causal mechanisms of the effects observed or as effects directly or indirectly observed.

This discovery marks an opportunity to advance science from a paradigm based on knowledge of effects (effectual science), to a paradigm based on knowledge of both cause and its effects (causal science). Fortunately, this paradigm shift can be implemented by distinguishing which of the two selection variables caused which effects observed in scientific experiments and observational studies. By being aligned with *how* Nature works, causal science will be more accurate and cost efficient and thus advance the art for the betterment and well being of us all.


Morales states, "As the findings show, *how* effects are caused are predetermined in Nature which in turn determines what effects exist. In summary, Nature prohibits existence until a selection can be made or can no longer be made. As such, we have no choice in the matter."

News 12 Video: [https://youtu.be/z8joZ\\_9DuWU](https://youtu.be/z8joZ_9DuWU)

#### References:

1. Morales, M.S., Who Is Telling The Truth, Nature Or Man?, News Biomed. Sci. (2016) Vol.13, No. 1. P. 86-90. [http://temptdestiny.com/pdf/Morales\\_NewsOfBiomedicalSciences.pdf](http://temptdestiny.com/pdf/Morales_NewsOfBiomedicalSciences.pdf)
2. Morales, M.S., Assumed Higgs Boson Discovery Proved Einstein Right, International Journal of Fundamental Physical Sciences (2012) Vol. 37, p. 44-47.  
doi:10.14331/ijfps.2012.330035  
[http://fundamentaljournals.org/ijfps/downloads/35\\_IJFPS\\_Dec\\_2012\\_44\\_47.pdf](http://fundamentaljournals.org/ijfps/downloads/35_IJFPS_Dec_2012_44_47.pdf)

# Figure: Mechanics Of The Two Acts Of Selection




Albert Einstein held the belief that quantum mechanics was an incomplete theory and that there were local hidden variables that would give us a complete sense of reality. As the findings show, he was correct about there being hidden variables. However, he was incorrect as to where to find them. The above basketball examples serve to illustrate the findings of the Tempt Destiny (TD) experiment and the mechanics involved.

1. The first top and bottom images show that a selection is a dichotomy consisting of two mutually exclusive parts that need to simultaneously exist as a pair in order for a selection to come to exist. As football fans found out in 11 out of 12 attempts, a selection does not preexist. It can *only* come to exist. This is what makes the acts of selection nonlocal and thus hidden.
2. The next top and bottom images (CAUSE) show that there are only two mutually exclusive and jointly exhaustive causal variables in Nature.
3. The next top and bottom images (EFFECT) show their corresponding effects

## A Flawed Scientific Method

Without taking into account *both* origin variables that caused the effects observed in experiments, the results are based on omitted-variable bias which can only lead to obtaining false-positive data as exhibited below:



So which of the two mutually exclusive selection variables (x, y) or (-x, y) caused the effect of the ball to go into the hoop and if you guessed correctly how would you know?

Imagine watching a basketball game and only seeing when the ball came out of the hoop. That's what the scientific method is missing, knowledge of which variables caused the effects observed in its experiments. In essence, the discovery of Einstein's nonlocal hidden variables has revealed how the scientific method is fundamentally flawed but also how to fix it by including the omitted variables that first caused the effects that follow.